



Humanities-Driven Approaches to Sustainable Innovation: An Integrative Review of Theoretical Frameworks, Methodological Paradigms, and Socio-Technical Applications Bridging Cultural Systems, Technological Advancement, and Societal Transformation

Dr. Nicolas J Vogel

Leibniz Institute for Agricultural Engineering and Bioeconomy (ATB), Potsdam, Germany

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Nicolas J Vogel**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3107-7129

Volume: 02

Issue: 01

Received: 27-11-2025

Accepted: 29-12-2025

Published: 31-01-2026

Page No: 16-21

Abstract

Sustainable innovation has emerged as a critical imperative in addressing contemporary global challenges, yet its conceptualization has predominantly relied on technological and economic perspectives, often neglecting the profound contributions of humanities and social sciences. This review examines humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation, emphasizing the integration of cultural dimensions, ethical considerations, and societal values within innovation frameworks. The study explores theoretical models that position culture, technology, and society as interconnected domains requiring holistic and participatory methodologies. Key conceptual frameworks discussed include socio-technical systems theory, transition management, participatory action research, and critical innovation studies, which collectively underscore the importance of human-centered and context-sensitive approaches. The article analyzes major application areas including policy formulation, urban planning, educational reform, and community-based innovation systems, demonstrating how humanities perspectives enhance the sustainability and social legitimacy of innovation processes. Methodological approaches spanning ethnographic research, discourse analysis, and transdisciplinary collaboration are examined for their capacity to address complex sustainability challenges. The review identifies persistent challenges including disciplinary silos, power asymmetries in participatory processes, and the need for more robust evaluation metrics that capture cultural and social impacts. Future research directions emphasize the development of integrative frameworks that reconcile technological efficiency with cultural preservation, social equity, and environmental stewardship, positioning humanities scholarship as essential to achieving genuinely sustainable and socially just innovation pathways.

Keywords: Sustainable innovation; humanities research; socio-technical systems; participatory methodologies; cultural sustainability; policy innovation

1. Introduction

The concept of sustainable innovation has evolved significantly over recent decades, transitioning from narrow technical optimization paradigms to more comprehensive frameworks that recognize the intrinsic interconnections between technological advancement, societal transformation, and cultural continuity^[1, 2]. While engineering and natural sciences have historically dominated sustainability discourse, humanities and social sciences offer indispensable perspectives that center human experience, cultural values, and social justice within innovation processes^[3, 4]. This shift reflects growing recognition that

technological solutions alone cannot address the multifaceted challenges of sustainability, which are fundamentally rooted in human behavior, institutional structures, and cultural worldviews ^[5]. Humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation emphasize the constitutive role of culture, ethics, and social relationships in shaping innovation trajectories ^[6]. These approaches challenge technocentric assumptions by foregrounding questions of meaning, value, and power that determine how innovations are conceived, adopted, and integrated into diverse societal contexts ^[7, 8]. By examining innovation through interpretive, critical, and participatory lenses, humanities scholarship reveals the cultural politics of sustainability, exposing whose voices are heard, whose knowledge is valorized, and whose futures are prioritized in innovation agendas ^[9]. The interdisciplinary integration of culture, technology, and society represents both a methodological necessity and an ethical imperative for sustainable innovation ^[10]. Contemporary sustainability challenges—including climate change, urbanization, social inequality, and cultural erasure—are characterized by complexity, uncertainty, and contested values that resist purely technical solutions ^[11, 12]. Addressing these challenges requires collaborative frameworks that bridge disciplinary boundaries, integrate diverse knowledge systems, and engage stakeholders in deliberative processes of co-creation ^[13, 14]. This article provides a comprehensive review of humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation, examining theoretical frameworks, methodological paradigms, and applied innovations across policy, urban planning, education, and socio-technical systems. The review demonstrates how humanities perspectives enrich sustainability research by attending to the cultural dimensions of innovation, the social contexts of technological change, and the ethical implications of development pathways ^[15, 16]. By synthesizing insights from cultural studies, science and technology studies,

political ecology, and critical innovation studies, this article articulates an integrative vision of sustainable innovation that honors both human flourishing and ecological integrity.

2. Conceptual Frameworks and Methodological Approaches

2.1. Theoretical Models in Humanities and Sustainability

Humanities-driven sustainable innovation draws upon multiple theoretical traditions that collectively emphasize the social embeddedness of technological change and the cultural dimensions of sustainability transitions ^[17]. Socio-technical systems theory conceptualizes innovation as emerging from the dynamic interplay between technological artifacts, institutional arrangements, user practices, and cultural meanings ^[18]. This framework rejects technological determinism, instead positioning innovations as co-produced through negotiations among diverse actors with competing interests and values ^[19]. Transition management theory provides another influential framework, conceptualizing sustainability transformations as multi-level processes involving interactions between niches (sites of radical innovation), regimes (dominant socio-technical configurations), and landscapes (broader contextual factors) ^[20]. Humanities scholarship enriches this framework by analyzing how cultural narratives, power relations, and historical legacies shape transition pathways and influence which innovations gain traction ^[21]. Critical innovation studies contribute vital perspectives by interrogating the normative assumptions embedded in innovation discourses and practices ^[22]. This approach examines how certain forms of knowledge and expertise are privileged while others are marginalized, how innovation agendas reflect particular political-economic interests, and how seemingly neutral technical choices encode specific values and worldviews ^[23]. Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of major humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation.

Table 1: Comparison of major humanities-driven sustainable innovation approaches and their domains of application

Approach	Core Principles	Primary Focus	Methodological Emphasis	Application Domains
Socio-technical Systems	Technology-society co-production	System interactions and configurations	Network analysis, ethnography	Infrastructure, energy systems, urban mobility
Transition Management	Multi-level governance processes	Strategic navigation of change	Participatory scenario development	Policy formulation, regional development
Participatory Action Research	Stakeholder engagement and co-creation	Social learning and empowerment	Collaborative inquiry, reflexive practice	Community development, education
Critical Innovation Studies	Power, politics, and normativity	Equity and justice dimensions	Discourse analysis, genealogical methods	Policy critique, institutional reform
Cultural Sustainability Framework	Heritage preservation and cultural vitality	Intergenerational continuity	Narrative inquiry, cultural mapping	Urban planning, tourism, indigenous knowledge systems

2.2. Frameworks for Innovation Adoption and Assessment

Assessing sustainable innovation from humanities perspectives requires evaluation frameworks that extend beyond conventional metrics of economic efficiency and environmental impact to encompass cultural vitality, social equity, and democratic legitimacy ^[24]. The cultural sustainability framework positions cultural diversity and heritage as fundamental dimensions of sustainable development, arguing that innovations must be evaluated for

their impacts on cultural identity, traditional knowledge systems, and community cohesion ^[25]. Social innovation frameworks emphasize the transformative potential of innovations that address social needs through novel configurations of relationships, governance structures, and resource flows. These frameworks prioritize community participation, distributional equity, and institutional change as core criteria for assessing innovation success. Table 2 outlines key theoretical frameworks linking culture, technology, and sustainability.

Table 2: Key theoretical frameworks linking culture, technology, and sustainability

Framework	Theoretical Foundation	Culture-Technology Integration	Sustainability Dimensions	Key Analytical Concepts
Socio-Cultural Innovation Model	Social constructivism	Technology as cultural artifact	Cultural adaptation, social learning	Meaning-making, appropriation, domestication
Ecological Modernization Theory	Institutional sociology	Technology as environmental solution	Green innovation, eco-efficiency	Reflexive modernization, ecological rationality
Political Ecology Framework	Critical geography	Technology as site of political struggle	Environmental justice, power relations	Resource access, scale politics, resistance
Value-Sensitive Design	Applied ethics	Technology embodying values	Ethical innovation, stakeholder values	Value specification, value translation
Indigenous Knowledge Systems	Epistemological pluralism	Traditional and modern knowledge integration	Holistic sustainability, place-based wisdom	Relationality, reciprocity, intergenerational transmission

2.3. Emerging Interdisciplinary Methodologies

Humanities-driven sustainable innovation research employs diverse methodological approaches that privilege qualitative depth, contextual understanding, and participatory engagement. Ethnographic methods enable researchers to examine how innovations are experienced, interpreted, and adapted within specific cultural contexts, revealing the tacit knowledge and everyday practices that shape technological trajectories. These methods are particularly valuable for understanding innovation adoption processes and identifying barriers that quantitative approaches may overlook. Discourse analysis provides powerful tools for examining how sustainability and innovation are constructed through language, revealing the ideological assumptions and power relations embedded in policy documents, scientific

publications, and public debates. This methodology illuminates whose perspectives are represented in sustainability discourses and how particular framings privilege certain solutions while foreclosing alternatives. Transdisciplinary research methodologies represent a fundamental departure from conventional disciplinary approaches, creating collaborative spaces where academic researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and community members co-produce knowledge. These methodologies recognize that addressing complex sustainability challenges requires integrating diverse forms of expertise and creating new knowledge that transcends disciplinary boundaries. Table 3 details methodological approaches for interdisciplinary sustainable innovation research.

Table 3: Methodological approaches for interdisciplinary sustainable innovation research

Methodology	Research Philosophy	Data Collection Methods	Analytical Techniques	Strengths for Sustainability Research
Ethnographic Research	Interpretivism	Participant observation, interviews	Thick description, cultural interpretation	Deep contextual understanding, practice-based insights
Participatory Action Research	Critical pragmatism	Co-design workshops, community forums	Collaborative analysis, reflective cycles	Stakeholder empowerment, actionable knowledge
Discourse Analysis	Social constructionism	Document analysis, media content	Critical discourse analysis, frame analysis	Power relations, narrative construction
Mixed Methods Integration	Methodological pluralism	Surveys, interviews, archival research	Triangulation, sequential analysis	Comprehensive understanding, validation
Transdisciplinary Synthesis	Systems thinking	Multi-stakeholder dialogues, futures workshops	Scenario development, systems mapping	Integration of diverse knowledge, solution-oriented

3. Applications and Case-Based Insights

3.1. Policy and Societal Systems

Humanities-driven approaches have profoundly influenced policy innovation for sustainability by foregrounding deliberative processes, stakeholder inclusion, and values-based decision-making. Policy frameworks informed by humanities perspectives recognize that effective sustainability governance requires not merely technical expertise but also cultural sensitivity, ethical reflection, and democratic legitimacy. Participatory policy-making processes exemplify this approach, creating forums where diverse stakeholders negotiate competing values and collaboratively design interventions that reflect collective aspirations.

Cultural policy integration represents an emerging frontier in sustainable innovation, acknowledging that cultural vitality constitutes a fundamental dimension of societal wellbeing alongside economic prosperity, social equity, and environmental health. Cities implementing cultural

sustainability strategies have demonstrated how heritage preservation, creative industries, and community cultural development can be integrated with environmental and economic sustainability objectives, creating synergies that enhance overall quality of life.

3.2. Urban Planning and Sustainable Development

Urban planning provides fertile ground for humanities-driven sustainable innovation, as cities represent complex socio-technical systems where cultural heritage, technological infrastructure, and social practices intersect. Participatory urban design processes that engage residents as co-creators have yielded innovations that are more culturally appropriate, socially accepted, and sustainably embedded than top-down technical solutions. These approaches recognize that urban sustainability depends not only on green infrastructure but also on preserving cultural identity, fostering social cohesion, and ensuring equitable access to urban amenities.

Cultural heritage conservation integrated with sustainable

development objectives exemplifies the productive synthesis of humanities and sustainability concerns. Adaptive reuse of historic buildings, revitalization of traditional neighborhoods, and preservation of intangible cultural heritage contribute simultaneously to environmental sustainability (by avoiding demolition and new construction), economic vitality (through heritage tourism and creative industries), and social sustainability (by maintaining place identity and community continuity).

3.3. Education, Culture, and Socio-Technical Systems

Educational innovation represents a critical domain where humanities-driven approaches advance sustainability transitions by cultivating the knowledge, values, and capacities required for transformative change. Education for sustainable development frameworks informed by humanities scholarship emphasize critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and intercultural competence alongside technical

knowledge. These approaches recognize that sustainability requires not merely technical solutions but fundamental shifts in worldviews, values, and relationships with each other and the natural world.

Socio-technical innovation in cultural institutions—museums, libraries, archives, and heritage sites—demonstrates how humanities perspectives shape the design and implementation of sustainable technologies. Digital heritage initiatives, for instance, employ advanced technologies to preserve and disseminate cultural knowledge while attending to questions of access, representation, and cultural sovereignty. These innovations illustrate how technological capabilities can be harnessed to serve humanistic values when guided by critical reflection and participatory design processes. Table 4 presents application areas of humanities-based innovation frameworks across societal systems.

Table 4: Application areas of humanities-based innovation frameworks across societal systems

Application Domain	Innovation Type	Humanities Contribution	Sustainability Outcomes	Implementation Examples
Policy Systems	Deliberative governance frameworks	Values articulation, stakeholder dialogue	Democratic legitimacy, social equity	Citizen assemblies, participatory budgeting
Urban Planning	Community-based design	Cultural mapping, place-based knowledge	Cultural preservation, social cohesion	Heritage districts, co-housing projects
Education Systems	Transformative pedagogy	Critical literacy, ethical reasoning	Sustainability competencies, systems thinking	Interdisciplinary curricula, community-based learning
Cultural Institutions	Digital heritage platforms	Representation ethics, access equity	Knowledge preservation, cultural vitality	Virtual museums, community archives
Infrastructure Development	Socio-technical integration	User practice analysis, meaning-making	Social acceptance, adaptive capacity	Smart cities with cultural sensitivity, participatory energy transitions

4. Challenges and Future Research Directions

Despite significant advances, humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation face persistent challenges that require sustained scholarly attention and methodological innovation. Disciplinary silos continue to hinder effective integration of humanities perspectives within sustainability science, as institutional structures, funding mechanisms, and evaluation criteria often privilege quantitative methods and technical expertise. Overcoming these barriers requires not merely interdisciplinary collaboration but genuine epistemic pluralism that values diverse forms of knowledge and multiple ways of knowing.

Power asymmetries in participatory processes represent another critical challenge, as efforts to engage diverse stakeholders may inadvertently reproduce existing inequalities if not carefully designed and facilitated. Marginalized communities may lack resources to participate effectively, dominant actors may co-opt participatory spaces to advance their interests, and structural inequalities may constrain the range of alternatives that can be imagined and pursued. Addressing these challenges requires critical reflexivity about power relations and deliberate efforts to create inclusive processes that genuinely empower marginalized voices.

Evaluation metrics for humanities-driven innovation remain underdeveloped, as conventional assessment frameworks often fail to capture cultural and social impacts that are qualitative, context-specific, and manifest over extended timeframes. Developing more robust evaluation approaches

requires conceptual work to articulate what constitutes success from humanities perspectives, methodological innovation to assess cultural and social outcomes rigorously, and institutional change to recognize and reward these contributions.

Future research should prioritize the development of integrative frameworks that reconcile technological efficiency with cultural preservation, social equity, and environmental stewardship. This requires theoretical work to conceptualize the relationships among these potentially competing objectives, empirical research to examine how they interact in specific contexts, and normative reflection to establish principles for navigating trade-offs. Particularly important is research that examines how indigenous knowledge systems and non-Western epistemologies can inform sustainable innovation, challenging the dominance of Western scientific paradigms while avoiding romanticization or appropriation.

Advancing humanities-driven sustainable innovation also requires institutional innovations that create spaces for transdisciplinary collaboration, reward diverse forms of scholarship, and connect academic research with policy and practice. This includes developing new publication venues, funding programs, and career pathways that support scholars working at disciplinary intersections and engaged with real-world sustainability challenges. Table 5 presents advantages, limitations, and societal implementation characteristics of different sustainability methodologies.

Table 5: Advantages, limitations, and societal implementation characteristics of different sustainability methodologies

Methodology	Primary Advantages	Key Limitations	Resource Requirements	Societal Implementation Complexity	Scalability Potential
Ethnographic Research	Deep contextual insights, cultural sensitivity	Time-intensive, limited generalizability	Moderate (skilled researchers, time)	Low (case-specific)	Low to moderate
Participatory Action Research	Stakeholder empowerment, actionable knowledge	Power dynamics, facilitation demands	High (sustained engagement, skilled facilitation)	Moderate (institutional support needed)	Moderate
Discourse Analysis	Reveals hidden assumptions, power relations	Interpretive variability, limited prescriptive guidance	Low to moderate (analytical expertise)	Low (primarily analytical)	High (transferable insights)
Transdisciplinary Synthesis	Holistic understanding, diverse knowledge integration	Coordination challenges, epistemological tensions	Very high (multiple expertise, sustained collaboration)	High (institutional barriers, time demands)	Moderate (requires adaptation)
Cultural Impact Assessment	Values diverse impacts, culturally sensitive evaluation	Metric development challenges, subjective elements	Moderate to high (specialized expertise)	Moderate to high (stakeholder engagement)	Moderate

5. Conclusion

Humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation offer essential perspectives that enrich and transform sustainability research by centering human experience, cultural values, and social justice within innovation processes. This review has demonstrated that genuinely sustainable innovation requires more than technological advancement; it demands critical attention to the cultural contexts, power relations, and ethical dimensions that shape how innovations are conceived, implemented, and experienced across diverse societies. The theoretical frameworks, methodological approaches, and applied innovations examined in this article collectively illustrate the indispensable contributions of humanities scholarship to addressing contemporary sustainability challenges.

The integration of culture, technology, and society within comprehensive analytical frameworks represents both a scholarly achievement and a practical necessity for navigating the complex transitions required to achieve sustainable futures. Socio-technical systems theory, transition management, participatory action research, and critical innovation studies provide robust conceptual foundations for understanding innovation as inherently social and cultural processes that must be approached through interdisciplinary and collaborative methodologies. These frameworks challenge technocentric assumptions and reveal how cultural narratives, institutional structures, and everyday practices fundamentally shape innovation trajectories.

Applications across policy systems, urban planning, education, and socio-technical infrastructure demonstrate the practical value of humanities perspectives for creating innovations that are not merely efficient but also culturally appropriate, socially equitable, and democratically legitimate. Participatory design processes, cultural sustainability frameworks, and values-based evaluation approaches exemplify how humanities-driven innovation can enhance both the effectiveness and the ethical quality of sustainability interventions. These applications underscore that sustainable innovation is ultimately about creating futures in which human communities can flourish within ecological limits while preserving cultural diversity and advancing social justice.

The challenges identified in this review—including disciplinary silos, power asymmetries, and underdeveloped

evaluation metrics—highlight important areas for future research and institutional reform. Advancing humanities-driven sustainable innovation requires not merely intellectual work but also institutional transformations that create supportive structures for transdisciplinary collaboration, recognize diverse forms of scholarship, and connect academic research with policy and practice. These transformations must be accompanied by sustained critical reflection on whose knowledge counts, whose voices are heard, and whose futures are prioritized in sustainability agendas.

As global society confronts unprecedented sustainability challenges, the integration of humanities perspectives within innovation frameworks becomes increasingly urgent. The path toward sustainable futures requires not only technical ingenuity but also cultural wisdom, ethical deliberation, and political imagination. Humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation provide essential resources for navigating this path, offering conceptual tools for understanding complexity, methodological approaches for engaging diversity, and normative frameworks for pursuing justice. Future research must continue to develop these resources while remaining attentive to the cultural specificities, power dynamics, and ethical complexities that characterize sustainability challenges in different contexts. Only through such comprehensive and critically reflective approaches can we achieve innovation that is genuinely sustainable—ecologically sound, socially just, and culturally vibrant.

6. References

1. Schot, J., & Steinmueller, W. E. (2018). Three frames for innovation policy: R&D, systems of innovation and transformative change. *Research Policy*, 47(9), 1554-1567.
2. Stirling, A. (2014). Transforming power: Social science and the politics of energy choices. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 1, 83-95.
3. Miller, T. R., Wiek, A., Sarewitz, D., Robinson, J., Olsson, L., Kriebel, D., & Loorbach, D. (2014). The future of sustainability science: A solutions-oriented research agenda. *Sustainability Science*, 9(2), 239-246.
4. Jasanoff, S. (2016). *The Ethics of Invention: Technology and the Human Future*. New York: W. W. Norton &

- Company.
5. Geels, F. W., Sovacool, B. K., Schwanen, T., & Sorrell, S. (2017). Sociotechnical transitions for deep decarbonization. *Science*, 357(6357), 1242-1244.
 6. Hawkes, J. (2001). *The Fourth Pillar of Sustainability: Culture's Essential Role in Public Planning*. Melbourne: Common Ground Publishing.
 7. Winner, L. (1980). Do artifacts have politics? *Daedalus*, 109(1), 121-136.
 8. Felt, U., Fouché, R., Miller, C. A., & Smith-Doerr, L. (Eds.). (2017). *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies* (4th ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
 9. Leach, M., Scoones, I., & Stirling, A. (2010). *Dynamic Sustainabilities: Technology, Environment, Social Justice*. London: Earthscan.
 10. Kates, R. W., Clark, W. C., Corell, R., Hall, J. M., Jaeger, C. C., Lowe, I., ... & Svedin, U. (2001). Sustainability science. *Science*, 292(5517), 641-642.
 11. Rittel, H. W., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4(2), 155-169.
 12. Swart, R. J., Raskin, P., & Robinson, J. (2004). The problem of the future: Sustainability science and scenario analysis. *Global Environmental Change*, 14(2), 137-146.
 13. Lang, D. J., Wiek, A., Bergmann, M., Stauffacher, M., Martens, P., Moll, P., ... & Thomas, C. J. (2012). Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: Practice, principles, and challenges. *Sustainability Science*, 7(1), 25-43.
 14. Turnhout, E., Metze, T., Wyborn, C., Klenk, N., & Louder, E. (2020). The politics of co-production: Participation, power, and transformation. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 42, 15-21.
 15. Scoones, I., Leach, M., & Newell, P. (Eds.). (2015). *The Politics of Green Transformations*. London: Routledge.
 16. Nightingale, A. J., Eriksen, S., Taylor, M., Forsyth, T., Pelling, M., Newsham, A., ... & Whitfield, S. (2020). Beyond technical fixes: Climate solutions and the great derangement. *Climate and Development*, 12(4), 343-352.
 17. Genus, A., & Stirling, A. (2018). Collingridge and the dilemma of control: Towards responsible and accountable innovation. *Research Policy*, 47(1), 61-69.
 18. Bijker, W. E., Hughes, T. P., & Pinch, T. (Eds.). (2012). *The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
 19. Smith, A., Voß, J. P., & Grin, J. (2010). Innovation studies and sustainability transitions: The allure of the multi-level perspective and its challenges. *Research Policy*, 39(4), 435-448.
 20. Loorbach, D., Frantzeskaki, N., & Avelino, F. (2017). Sustainability transitions research: Transforming science and practice for societal change. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 42, 599-626.
 21. Turnheim, B., Berkhout, F., Geels, F., Hof, A., McMeekin, A., Nykvist, B., & van Vuuren, D. (2015). Evaluating sustainability transitions pathways: Bridging analytical approaches to address governance challenges. *Global Environmental Change*, 35, 239-253.
 22. Godin, B., & Vinck, D. (Eds.). (2017). *Critical Studies of Innovation: Alternative Approaches to the Pro-Innovation Bias*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
 23. Fressoli, M., Arond, E., Abrol, D., Smith, A., Ely, A., & Dias, R. (2014). When grassroots innovation movements encounter mainstream institutions: Implications for models of inclusive innovation. *Innovation and Development*, 4(2), 277-292.
 24. Duxbury, N., Kangas, A., & De Beukelaer, C. (2017). Cultural policies for sustainable development: Four strategic paths. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, 23(2), 214-230.
 25. Soini, K., & Birkeland, I. (2014). Exploring the scientific discourse on cultural sustainability. *Geoforum*, 51, 213-223.

How to Cite This Article

Vogel NJ. Humanities-driven approaches to sustainable innovation: an integrative review of theoretical frameworks, methodological paradigms, and socio-technical applications bridging cultural systems, technological advancement, and societal transformation. *Int J Humanit Sustain Innov*. 2026;2(1):16–21

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.